



TITLE:

# Small stable theories with the tree property (Model theoretic aspects of the notion of independence and dimension)

AUTHOR(S):

Ikeda, Koichiro

---

CITATION:

Ikeda, Koichiro. Small stable theories with the tree property (Model theoretic aspects of the notion of independence and dimension). 数理解析研究所講究録 2018, 2079: 13-20

ISSUE DATE:

2018-08

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/242149>

RIGHT:

# Small stable theories with the tree property

Koichiro Ikeda \*

Faculty of Business Administration, Hosei University

Let  $T$  be a complete theory in a countable first order language. A non-isolated type  $p \in S(T)$  is said to have the tree property, if there are realizations  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \models p$  such that  $\text{tp}(\bar{b}\bar{c}/\bar{a})$  is isolated and  $\bar{b} \downarrow \bar{c}$  (see [1] for the definition). It is known that any stable Ehrenfeucht theory has a type with the tree property, and moreover that any type with the tree property has infinite weight ([1]). Using the Hrushovski amalgamation construction ([2]), Herwig constructed a small stable theory with a type of weight  $\omega$  ([1]), but it does not have a type with the tree type. In this short note, we show that there is a small stable theory with the tree property.

**Notation 1**  $M, N, \dots$  will denote  $L$ -structures, and  $A, B, \dots$  subsets of structures. Elements of structures will be denoted by  $a, b, \dots$ , and finite tuples of elements by  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \dots$ . If members of the tuple  $\bar{a}$  come from  $A$  we sometimes write  $\bar{a} \in A$ .  $A \subset_{\text{fin}} B$  means that  $A$  is a finite subset of  $B$ .  $AB$  means  $A \cup B$ .  $\text{tp}(\bar{a}/A)$  denotes a type of  $\bar{a}$  over  $A$ .  $S(A)$  denotes the set of all types over  $A$  and  $S(T)$  means  $S(\emptyset)$ . The set of all algebraic elements over  $A$  in  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{acl}_M(A)$ .  $B \downarrow_A C$  means that  $B$  and  $C$  are independent over  $A$  in the sense of forking.

**Definition 2** Let  $L_0$  be a language consisting of a binary relation  $R$ . Here, a directed graph means an  $L_0$ -structure  $(A, R^A)$ , where  $R^A = \{ab \in A : A \models R(a, b)\}$ , satisfying that

- $A \models \forall x \forall y [R(x, y) \rightarrow \neg R(y, x)];$
- $A \models \forall x \forall y [R(x, y) \rightarrow x \neq y].$

Let  $\mathbf{K}_0$  be a class of the finite directed graphs.

---

\*The author is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 17K05350).

For  $A \in \mathbf{K}_0$ , a predimension of  $A$  is defined by

$$\delta(A) = |A| - \alpha|R^A|,$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . For  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}_0$ ,  $\delta(B \cup A) - \delta(A)$  is denoted by  $\delta(B/A)$ . For  $A \subset B \in \mathbf{K}_0$ ,  $A$  is said to be closed in  $B$  (written  $A \leq B$ ), if

$$\delta(X/A) \geq 0 \text{ for any } X \subset B - A.$$

**Definition 3** For a function  $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , let  $\mathbf{K}_f$  be a class of finite  $L_0$ -structures  $A$  satisfying that

- $A \in \mathbf{K}_0$ ;
- $\delta(A') > f(|A'|)$  for any  $A' \subset A$ .

For  $A, B, C \in \mathbf{K}_0$  with  $A = B \cap C$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  is said to be free over  $A$  (written  $B \perp_A C$ ), if

$$R^{B \cup C} = R^B \cup R^C.$$

When  $B \perp_A C$ , a graph  $B \cup C$  is called the free amalgam of  $B$  and  $C$  over  $A$ , and written  $B \oplus_A C$ .

**Note 4** By the similar argument as in the Hrushovski construction [2], we can take an irrational  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and a function  $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

- $f$  is unbounded;
- $(\mathbf{K}_f, \leq)$  has the free amalgamation property, i.e., whenever  $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}_f$  and  $A \leq C \in \mathbf{K}_f$  then  $B \oplus_A C \in \mathbf{K}_f$ .

Moreover, we can assume that

- $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ ;
- $\alpha < 1/2$ .

**Note 5** From Since  $f(0) = 0$  it follows that  $\text{acl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, from  $f(1) = 1$  it follows that any 1-element is closed. If  $abc \in \mathbf{K}_0$  satisfies  $R(a, b) \wedge R(a, c)$ , then we have  $bc \leq abc$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $L$  consist of  $L_0$  and countably many unary predicates  $U_0, U_1, \dots$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a class of finite  $L$ -structures  $A$  satisfying

- $A \in \mathbf{K}_f$ ;
- $U_0^A \subset U_1^A \subset \dots$ ;
- For any  $a, b \in A$  and  $i \in \omega$ , if  $A \models R(a, b) \wedge U_i(b)$ , then there is a  $j < i$  with  $A \models U_j(a)$ .

Let  $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$  be a class of (possibly infinite)  $L$ -structures  $N$  satisfying  $A \in \mathbf{K}$  for any  $A \subset_{\text{fin}} N$ . For  $A, B \in \overline{\mathbf{K}}$  with  $A \subset B$ ,  $A \leq B$  is defined by

$$A \cap X \leq B \cap X \text{ for every } X \subset_{\text{fin}} B.$$

For  $A, M$  with  $A \subset M$ , the closure of  $A$  in  $M$  is

$$\bigcap \{B : A \subset B \leq M\},$$

and it will be written  $\text{cl}_M(A)$ .

**Definition 7** A countable  $L$ -structure  $M$  is said to be a  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic structure, if it satisfies

1. if  $M \in \overline{\mathbf{K}}$ ;
2. if  $A \leq M$  and  $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ , then there is a  $B' \cong_A B$  with  $B \leq M$ ;
3. if  $A \subset_{\text{fin}} M$ , then  $\text{cl}_M(A)$  is finite.

Since  $(\mathbf{K}_f, \leq)$  has the free amalgamation property, so is  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ . Therefore, there is a unique generic structure for  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ .

In what follows, let  $M$  be the generic structure, and  $\mathcal{M}$  a big model.

**Notation 8** Let  $\Sigma(x) = \{\neg U_i(x) : i \in \omega\}$ ;

For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathbf{K}$  is called  $n$ -cycle, if it satisfies  $R(a_1, a_2) \wedge R(a_2, a_3) \wedge \dots \wedge R(a_n, a_1)$ .

**Note 9** For the generic  $M$ , let  $M_1 = \Sigma^M$  and  $M_0 = M - M_1$ . Then

1.  $M_0$  has no cycles;
2. For each  $n \geq 3$  and  $a \in M_1$ , there is an  $n$ -cycle containing  $a$ .

**Proof:** (1) Suppose that there would be a cycle. Then we can take  $a, b$  in the cycle such that  $\models U_i(a) \wedge U_j(b) \wedge R(a, b)$  for some  $i, j$  with  $j < i$ . A contradiction.

(2) By Note 5, we have  $a \leq M$ . Take any cycle  $S$  with  $a \in S$  and  $\models \Sigma(b)$  for any  $b \in S$ . Then it can be seen that  $a \leq S \in \mathbf{K}$ . By genericity of  $M$ , we can assume that  $S \subset M$ .

**Notation 10** Let  $\pi(x) = \exists y \exists z \exists w [R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z) \wedge R(z, w) \wedge R(w, x)]$ . For  $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ , let

- $p_1^A = \Sigma^A \cap \pi^A$ ;
- $p_2^A = \Sigma^A \cap (\neg\pi)^A$ ;
- $p_0^A = A - (p_1^A \cup p_2^A)$ .

**Note 11** It can be seen that  $p_1^A = \pi^A$ .

**Note 12** Since  $f$  is unbounded, it can be seen that for a finite  $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A \leq \mathcal{M}$  is definable, i.e., there is a formula  $\theta(X) \in \text{tp}(A)$  such that  $\models \theta(A')$  implies  $A' \leq \mathcal{M}$ .

**Notation 13** Let  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then

- let  $\theta_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x})$  be a formula expressing that  $\bar{x}$  is closed;
- let  $\psi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x})$  be a formula expressing that  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{a}$  are  $L_0$ -isomorphic;
- for  $n \in \omega$ , let  $\alpha_{\bar{a}}^n(\bar{x}) = \bigwedge \{U_i(x_k)^{\text{if } \models U_i(a_k)} : i \leq n, a_k \in \bar{a} = a_0 \dots a_m\}$ ;
- let  $\beta_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x}) = \bigwedge \{\pi(x_k)^{\text{if } \models \pi(a_k)} : a_k \in \bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_m\}$ .

**Notation 14** For  $\bar{a} \leq \mathcal{M}$ , let

$$\text{qftp}^*(\bar{a}) = \{\theta_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x})\} \cup \{\psi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x})\} \cup \{\alpha_{\bar{a}}^n(\bar{x})\}_{n \in \omega} \cup \{\beta_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x})\}$$

**Lemma 15** Let  $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \leq \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\text{qftp}^*(\bar{a}) = \text{qftp}^*(\bar{a}')$  then  $\text{tp}(\bar{a}) = \text{tp}(\bar{a}')$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that, for any finite  $A, A', B$  with  $\text{qftp}^*(A) = \text{qftp}^*(A')$  and  $A \leq B \leq \mathcal{M}$ , there is  $B' \leq \mathcal{M}$  with  $\text{qftp}^*(A'B') = \text{qftp}^*(AB)$ . Assume otherwise. Then

$$\{\psi_{BA}(YA')\} \cup \{\alpha_B^n(Y)\}_{n \in \omega} \cup \{\beta_B(Y)\} \cup \{\theta_{AB}(A'Y)\}$$

is inconsistent. Let  $\Gamma(X) = \text{tp}(A')$ . Then

$$\Gamma(X) \cup \{\psi_{BA}(YX)\} \cup \{\alpha_B^n(Y)\}_{n \in \omega} \cup \{\beta_B(Y)\} \cup \{\theta_{AB}(XY)\}$$

is inconsistent. By compactness, there are  $\varphi(X) \in \Gamma(X)$  and  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$\varphi(X) \wedge \psi_{BA}(YX) \wedge \alpha_B^n(Y) \wedge \beta_B(Y) \wedge \theta_{AB}(XY)$$

is inconsistent. We can assume that  $\models \forall X[\varphi(X) \rightarrow (\psi_A(X) \wedge \theta_A(X))]$ . Let  $\gamma(XY)$  denote the formula above. Take  $A^* \subset M$  with  $M \models \varphi(A^*)$ . Note that

$$\models \neg \exists Y \gamma(A^*Y).$$

On the other hand, since  $p_2^B$  has no cycles, we can take  $B^* \in \mathbf{K}$  and an  $L_0$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : BA \rightarrow B^*A^*$  satisfying

- $\sigma(A) = A^*$ ;
- for any  $b \in p_0^B \cup p_1^B$  and  $i \in \omega$ ,  $B \models U_i(b)$  iff  $B^* \models U_i(\sigma(b))$ ;
- for any  $b \in p_2^B$ ,  $n < \sup\{i \in \omega : B^* \models U_i(\sigma(b))\} < \omega$ .

Since  $A^* \leq B^* \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $A^* \leq \mathcal{M}$ , by genericity of  $M$ , we can assume that  $B^* \leq M$ . Then it can be seen that  $M \models \gamma(A^*B^*)$ . A contradiction.

**Corollary 16**  $T$  is small.

For  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$ , a dimension of  $\bar{a}$  is defined by  $d(\bar{a}) = \delta(\text{cl}(\bar{a}))$ . For  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $d(\bar{a}/\bar{b})$  will denote  $d(\bar{a}\bar{b}) - d(\bar{b})$ . For a (possibly infinite)  $B \subset \mathcal{M}$ , we define  $d(\bar{a}/B) = \inf\{d(\bar{a}/B_0) : B_0 \subset_{\text{fin}} B\}$ .

**Fact 17 ([3])** Let  $A, B, C \subset \mathcal{M}$  with  $A = B \cap C$  and  $B, C \leq \mathcal{M}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $B \perp_A C$  and  $BC \leq \mathcal{M}$ ;
2.  $d(B/C) = d(B/A)$ .

**Lemma 18**  $T$  is stable.

**Proof.** Take  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ . Take any  $B \leq \mathcal{M}$  with  $|B| \leq \lambda$ . We want to show that  $|S(B)| \leq \lambda$ . Take any  $\bar{e} \in \mathcal{M} - B$ . By the definition of the dimension  $d$ , there is a countable  $A \leq B$  with

$$d(\bar{e}/B) = d(\bar{e}/A) \text{ and } \text{cl}(\bar{e}A) \cap B = A.$$

Take any  $\bar{e}' \models \text{tp}(\bar{e}/A)$  with

$$d(\bar{e}'/B) = d(\bar{e}'/A) \text{ and } \text{cl}(\bar{e}'A) \cap B = A.$$

Since  $\text{tp}(\bar{e}/A) = \text{tp}(\bar{e}'/A)$ , we have

$$\text{qftp}^*(\text{cl}(\bar{e}A)/A) = \text{qftp}^*(\text{cl}(\bar{e}'A)/A).$$

On the other hand, by Fact 17, we have

$$\text{cl}(\bar{e}A) \perp_A B \text{ and } \text{cl}(\bar{e}'A) \perp_A B.$$

Therefore we have

$$\text{qftp}^*(\text{cl}(\bar{e}A)/B) = \text{qftp}^*(\text{cl}(\bar{e}'A)/B).$$

Again by Fact 17,

$$\text{cl}(\bar{e}A)B, \text{cl}(\bar{e}'A)B \leq \mathcal{M}.$$

Then we have  $\text{tp}(\bar{e}/B) = \text{tp}(\bar{e}'/B)$ . Therefore any type over  $B$  is determined by a type of over some countable  $A \subset B$ . Hence

$$|S(B)| \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0} \times 2^{\aleph_0} = \lambda.$$

Therefore  $T$  is  $\lambda$ -stable.

**Fact 19 ([3])** Let  $A, B, C \subset_{\text{fin}} \mathcal{M}$  with  $A = B \cap C$ ,  $\text{acl}(A) = A$  and  $B, C \leq \mathcal{M}$ . If  $B \perp_A C$  and  $BC \leq \mathcal{M}$  then  $B \downarrow_A C$ .

**Lemma 20**  $T$  has a type with the tree property.

**Proof.** Take any  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\models \Sigma(a)$  and  $\models \neg\pi(a)$ . Since any 1-element is closed, we have

$$\Sigma(x) \cup \{\neg\pi(x)\} \vdash \text{qftp}^*(a) \vdash \text{tp}(a).$$

Let  $p(x) = \text{tp}(a)$ . We want to show that  $p$  has the tree property. By compactness, we can take  $b, c \models p$  with  $\models R(a, b) \wedge R(a, c) \wedge \neg R(b, c)$  and  $abc \leq \mathcal{M}$ . First, we show that

$$b \downarrow c.$$

Note that  $\text{acl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\delta(a/bc) = 1 - 2\alpha > 0$ , by Note 5, we have

$$bc \leq abc \leq \mathcal{M}.$$

Note that

$$b \perp c.$$

By Fact 19, we have  $b \downarrow c$ . Next, we show that

$$\text{tp}(bc/a) \text{ is isolated.}$$

Let

$$\varphi(yz, a) = R(a, y) \wedge R(a, z) \wedge \neg\pi(y) \wedge \neg\pi(z) \wedge \neg R(y, z) \wedge \theta_{abc}(ayz).$$

Take any  $b'c' \models \varphi$ . Since  $\models \Sigma(a)$  and  $\models R(a, b') \wedge R(a, c')$ , we have

$$\models \Sigma(b') \text{ and } \models \Sigma(c').$$

Since  $\models \varphi(b'c', a)$ , we have

$$\models \neg R(b', c') \wedge \neg\pi(b') \wedge \neg\pi(c') \text{ and } ab'c' \leq M.$$

Then

$$\text{qftp}^*(abc) = \text{qftp}^*(ab'c').$$

By Lemma 15, we have

$$\text{tp}(b'c'/a) = \text{tp}(bc/a).$$

It follows that  $\text{tp}(bc/a)$  is isolated.



## References

- [1] B. Herwig, Weight  $\omega$  in stable theories with few types, The Journal of Symbolic Logic (1995)
- [2] E. Hrushovski, A stable  $\omega$ -categorical pseudoplane, preprint (1989)
- [3] F. O. Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions, In Automorphisms of first-order structures, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994